

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/689,774	10/20/2003		Jamy Gannoe	514362000701	4541
7:	590	02/08/2006		EXAMINER	
Howard Hugh		•	TRUONG, KEVIN THAO		
6060 Center Di Tenth Floor	ive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, (CA 90045	;	3731		

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Sip
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/689,774	GANNOE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin T. Truong	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are provided by the office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this comr. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	٠
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
·—	nis action is non-final.	tore procesution as to the m	narite ie
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde			161113 13
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application	n		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.	•	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami			•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to th			1 121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
·			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	iitu undar 35 II C C	\$ 110(a) (d) or (f)	
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(a) or (1).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pi			tage
application from the International Bure			ŭ
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li		t received.	
Attachment(s)		·	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/15/05;11/28/03</u>. 		Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 and 8 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,656,194.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the relatively broad subject matter claimed in the instant application such as method for attaching a magnet anchor to a stomach wall, which would have been obvious in view of the relatively detailed subject matter of the patent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3731

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Imran et al. (U.S. 6,535,764).

As to claims 1-5 and 8, Note in figures 15-17 and col. 12, lines 19-55, a method for attaching a magnetic anchor (123) to a stomach wall (100) by suturing (131), wherein accessing the stomach wall (100) by using an endoscope (110).

As to claims 6, 7, and 9, wherein the step of accessing the stomach wall (100) as shown in Imran et al device, which is inherently capable of making a small incision (less than eight inches in length) in a linea alba. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Deem et al. (U.S. 6,558,400) discloses a obesity treatment tools.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin T. Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-4705. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM..

Art Unit: 3731

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin T. Truong

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3731

ktt